

Critical Roles of Chalcogenide Anion on Strengthening Stability of Ni

ARTICLE 01-P08-033 | Published 2026-08-27

ABSTRACT

This evidence-synthesis article examines electrocatalytic materials through the focal contribution “Critical Roles of Chalcogenide Anion on Strengthening Stability of Ni 2 Mo 6 Te 8 for Almost Exclusive Electrocatalysts Nitrate to Ammonia Conversion” and nine author-disjoint, topically matched studies. The analysis is organized around longitudinal monitoring and model drift. Rather than treating bibliographic proximity as proof of empirical equivalence, it separates conceptual claims, evaluation choices, operational constraints, and transfer risks. The result is a reproducible framework for comparing adjacent evidence without overstating what title- and metadata-level screening can establish. All ten references are cited in the body, and the reference set has been checked for complete-author intersections.

Keywords electrocatalytic materials; longitudinal monitoring and model drift; evidence synthesis; reproducibility; research evaluation

1. Research Context

Xia et al. (2024) [1] provides the focal point for this review. Its topic is consequential because progress in electrocatalytic materials depends not only on a proposed method, material, dataset, or workflow, but also on the credibility of the evidence chain that connects design decisions to reported outcomes. The present article therefore asks a deliberately bounded question: how should the focal work be interpreted when the primary lens is longitudinal monitoring and model drift? This framing supports comparison while avoiding claims that cannot be established from bibliographic records alone.

The review follows a structured evidence-mapping protocol. First, the focal work defines the problem boundary. Second, nine adjacent publications are selected by controlled topical terms. Third, complete author sets are normalized and screened so that no two references in this manuscript share an author. Fourth, each item is assigned an explicit analytical role. This protocol makes the inclusion logic inspectable and prevents a long bibliography from masquerading as a coherent synthesis.

2. Evidence Map

Evidence node 2 is Li et al. (2026) [2], which addresses “Atomically dispersed Cu-Co-N-C electrocatalysts for nitrate reduction to ammonia”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within electrocatalytic materials; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

Evidence node 3 is Niu et al. (2025) [3], which addresses “Rational electrocatalyst design for selective nitrate reduction to ammonia”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within electrocatalytic materials; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

Evidence node 4 is Luo et al. (2020) [4], which addresses “Ordered mesoporous carbon with atomically dispersed Fe-Nx as oxygen reduction reaction electrocatalyst in air-cathode microbial fuel cells”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within electrocatalytic materials; in this review it is used to test

how the focal argument behaves when viewed through longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

Evidence node 5 is Duan et al. (2025) [5], which addresses “Electronic Engineering of Atomically Dispersed Low/Non-Platinum Metal Electrocatalyst to Improve Oxygen Reduction Reaction Durability for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within electrocatalytic materials; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

Evidence node 6 is Guan et al. (2025) [6], which addresses “Cobalt nanoclusters well-dispersed on defect-rich nitrogen-doped carbon: a high-selective electrocatalyst for nitrate reduction to ammonia”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within electrocatalytic materials; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

Evidence node 7 is Liu et al. (2024) [7], which addresses “Tandem Nitrate to Ammonia Conversion on Atomically Precise Silver Nanocluster/MXene Electrocatalyst”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within electrocatalytic materials; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

Evidence node 8 is Wu et al. (2023) [8], which addresses “Ammonia Tolerance of Atomically Dispersed Single Metal Site Catalysts: Mechanistic Understanding and High Performance Oxygen Reduction Electrocatalysis”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within electrocatalytic materials; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

Evidence node 9 is Xu et al. (2024) [9], which addresses “A Bio-inspired Dendritic MoOx Electrocatalyst for Efficient Electrochemical Nitrate Reduction to Ammonia”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within electrocatalytic materials; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

Evidence node 10 is Gonzaga et al. (2026) [10], which addresses “Sustainable nitrate reduction to ammonia using

CuO-TiO₂ electrocatalyst". Its controlled title terms place it directly within electrocatalytic materials; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

Taken together, references [1]-[10] form a compact, conflict-free map rather than a vote count. They span the focal contribution, adjacent methods, evaluation settings, and implementation considerations. Their value lies in triangulation: agreement can identify stable design assumptions, while differences reveal where metrics, datasets, populations, hardware, or operating conditions limit direct comparison.

3. Analytical Framework

The first analytical layer is construct alignment. A useful comparison requires that the outcome named in one study correspond to the outcome measured in another. For manuscript 01-P08-033, this means distinguishing nominally similar terms from operationally equivalent measures. The second layer is evidence strength. Peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and preprints may all contribute, but their claims should be weighted by methodological transparency, sample or benchmark coverage, and the availability of uncertainty estimates.

The third layer concerns transfer. A result can be methodologically coherent yet fragile outside its original setting. Under the lens of longitudinal monitoring and model drift, transfer should be tested along at least four axes: data composition, task definition, resource envelope, and decision cost. The fourth layer is failure analysis. Aggregate performance alone cannot show whether errors concentrate in rare classes, difficult operating conditions, minority subgroups, boundary cases, or high-cost decisions. A credible research program therefore reports both central tendency and structured failure slices.

The fifth layer is reproducibility. At minimum, readers need a precise description of inputs, preprocessing, comparison baselines, evaluation metrics, and exclusion rules. Where code or data cannot be released, a procedural specification and sensitivity analysis can still make the work more auditable. This is especially important when the focal contribution is recent or when a formal venue record is still evolving.

4. Implications for Research and Practice

For researchers, the evidence map recommends designing experiments backward from the decision that the system or scientific claim is intended to support. That approach clarifies which baselines are meaningful, which uncertainty measures matter, and which ablations can attribute gains to specific components. It also discourages benchmark-only conclusions when the application depends on latency, reliability, calibration, manufacturing variation, environmental exposure, or human interpretation.

For practitioners, the key implication is staged adoption. A promising result should first be reproduced in a controlled environment, then stress-tested under shifted conditions, and finally monitored after deployment. Decision thresholds should reflect asymmetric costs rather than headline accuracy alone. Documentation should preserve data provenance, versioned configurations, and known failure conditions so that later changes can be traced.

5. Limitations and Research Agenda

This article is a structured evidence synthesis, not a new empirical trial. The adjacent works were selected for topical relevance and author independence; those criteria do not make their datasets or outcomes interchangeable. The next step is full-text extraction of study design, sample characteristics, effect estimates, uncertainty intervals, and implementation details. A preregistered comparison matrix would strengthen the analysis further.

Three questions follow. First, does the focal claim remain stable under alternative datasets or populations? Second, which component contributes most when cost and uncertainty are evaluated jointly? Third, what monitoring signal would reveal degradation early enough for intervention? Answering these questions would turn the present evidence map into a cumulative research program centered on longitudinal monitoring and model drift.

References

- Xia, F., Li, B., Liu, Y., Tan, H., An, B., Gao, S., Marks, T.-J., & Cheng, Y. (2024). Critical Roles of Chalcogenide Anion on Strengthening Stability of Ni₂Mo₆Te₈ for Almost Exclusive Electrocatalysts Nitrate to Ammonia Conversion. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 34(14), 2312079. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202312079>
- Li, D., Liu, X., Geng, C., Gao, W., Zhang, L., & Liang, J. (2026). Atomically dispersed Cu-Co-N-C electrocatalysts for nitrate reduction to ammonia. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 1009, 119993. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2026.119993>
- Niu, Z., & Wang, G. (2025). Rational electrocatalyst design for selective nitrate reduction to ammonia. *Chemical Physics Reviews*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0230248>
- Luo, X., Han, W., Du, W., Huang, Z., Jiang, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Ordered mesoporous carbon with atomically dispersed Fe-Nx as oxygen reduction reaction electrocatalyst in air-cathode microbial fuel cells. *Journal of Power Sources*, 469, 228184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2020.228184>
- Duan, J., Xue, D., Gong, T., Hu, J.-S., & Zhang, J.-N. (2025). Electronic Engineering of Atomically Dispersed Low/Non-Platinum Metal Electrocatalyst to Improve Oxygen Reduction Reaction Durability for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells. *Renewables*, 3(4), 203-221. <https://doi.org/10.31635/renewables.025.202500094>
- Guan, J., Geng, L., Ouyang, B., Xu, L., Deng, Y., & Xu, B. (2025). Cobalt nanoclusters well-dispersed on defect-rich nitrogen-doped carbon: a high-selective electrocatalyst for nitrate reduction to ammonia. *Journal of Power Sources*, 658, 238282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2025.238282>
- Liu, L., Zheng, S.-J., Chen, H., Cai, J., & Zang, S.-Q. (2024). Tandem Nitrate to Ammonia Conversion on Atomically Precise Silver Nanocluster/MXene Electrocatalyst. *Angewandte Chemie*, 136(8). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.202316910>
- Wu, Z., Wang, Y., Cao, Y., Wang, B., Sun, Z., Yang, J., & Li, Y. (2023). Ammonia Tolerance of Atomically Dispersed Single Metal Site Catalysts: Mechanistic Understanding and High Performance Oxygen Reduction Electrocatalysis. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 33(32). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202301084>
- Xu, Y.-Z., Abbott, D., Dürr, R., Ngoc Huan, T., & Mougél, V. (2024). A Bio-inspired Dendritic MoOx Electrocatalyst for Efficient Electrochemical Nitrate Reduction to Ammonia. <https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2024-4gxns>
- Gonzaga, I.-M.-D., Almeida, C.-V.-S., & Mascaro, L.-H. (2026). Sustainable nitrate reduction to ammonia using CuO-TiO₂ electrocatalyst. *Catalysis Today*, 474, 115828. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2026.115828>