

# CusEnhancer: A Zero-Shot Scene and Controllability Enhancement Method for Photo Customization via ResInversion: An Evidence Synthesis on Evaluation Design And Construct Validity

ARTICLE 01-P09-002 | Published 2026-08-27

## ABSTRACT

This evidence-synthesis article examines controllable image personalization through the focal contribution “CusEnhancer: A Zero-Shot Scene and Controllability Enhancement Method for Photo Customization via ResInversion” and nine author-disjoint, topically matched studies. The analysis is organized around evaluation design and construct validity. Rather than treating bibliographic proximity as proof of empirical equivalence, it separates conceptual claims, evaluation choices, operational constraints, and transfer risks. The result is a reproducible framework for comparing adjacent evidence without overstating what title- and metadata-level screening can establish. All ten references are cited in the body, and the reference set has been checked for complete-author intersections.

Keywords controllable image personalization; evaluation design and construct validity; evidence synthesis; reproducibility; research evaluation

## 1. Research Context

Ren et al. (2025) [1] provides the focal point for this review. Its topic is consequential because progress in controllable image personalization depends not only on a proposed method, material, dataset, or workflow, but also on the credibility of the evidence chain that connects design decisions to reported outcomes. The present article therefore asks a deliberately bounded question: how should the focal work be interpreted when the primary lens is evaluation design and construct validity? This framing supports comparison while avoiding claims that cannot be established from bibliographic records alone.

The review follows a structured evidence-mapping protocol. First, the focal work defines the problem boundary. Second, nine adjacent publications are selected by controlled topical terms. Third, complete author sets are normalized and screened so that no two references in this manuscript share an author. Fourth, each item is assigned an explicit analytical role. This protocol makes the inclusion logic inspectable and prevents a long bibliography from masquerading as a coherent synthesis.

## 2. Evidence Map

Evidence node 2 is Soboleva et al. (2026) [2], which addresses “T-LoRA: Single Image Diffusion Model Customization Without Overfitting”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within controllable image personalization; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through evaluation design and construct validity.

Evidence node 3 is Yu et al. (2025) [3], which addresses “GeoDiff-SR: Structure-Preserving Image Super-Resolution via Riemannian Diffusion Inversion”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within controllable image personalization; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through evaluation design and construct validity.

Evidence node 4 is Ma et al. (2024) [4], which addresses “Equilibrated Diffusion: Frequency-aware Textual Embedding for Equilibrated Image Customization”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within controllable image

personalization; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through evaluation design and construct validity.

Evidence node 5 is Lee et al. (2024) [5], which addresses “Direct Consistency Optimization for Robust Customization of Text-to-Image Diffusion models”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within controllable image personalization; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through evaluation design and construct validity.

Evidence node 6 is Jiang et al. (2025) [6], which addresses “RMP-adapter: A region-based Multiple Prompt Adapter for multi-concept customization in text-to-image diffusion model”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within controllable image personalization; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through evaluation design and construct validity.

Evidence node 7 is Hase et al. (2026) [7], which addresses “Spatial Distortion-Guided Diffusion Inversion for Blind Image Restoration”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within controllable image personalization; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through evaluation design and construct validity.

Evidence node 8 is Chihaoui et al. (2024) [8], which addresses “Blind Image Restoration via Fast Diffusion Inversion”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within controllable image personalization; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through evaluation design and construct validity.

Evidence node 9 is Kumari et al. (2023) [9], which addresses “Multi-Concept Customization of Text-to-Image Diffusion”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within controllable image personalization; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through evaluation design and construct validity.

Evidence node 10 is Cheng et al. (2025) [10], which addresses “OmniStyle: Attention-Optimized Global and Local Image Stylization with Diffusion Model Inversion”. Its controlled title terms place it directly within controllable image personalization; in this review it is used to test how the focal argument behaves when viewed through evaluation design and construct validity.

Taken together, references [1]-[10] form a compact, conflict-free map rather than a vote count. They span the focal contribution, adjacent methods, evaluation settings, and implementation considerations. Their value lies in triangulation: agreement can identify stable design assumptions, while differences reveal where metrics, datasets, populations, hardware, or operating conditions limit direct comparison.

### 3. Analytical Framework

The first analytical layer is construct alignment. A useful comparison requires that the outcome named in one study correspond to the outcome measured in another. For manuscript 01-P09-002, this means distinguishing nominally similar terms from operationally equivalent measures. The second layer is evidence strength. Peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and preprints may all contribute, but their claims should be weighted by methodological transparency, sample or benchmark coverage, and the availability of uncertainty estimates.

The third layer concerns transfer. A result can be methodologically coherent yet fragile outside its original setting. Under the lens of evaluation design and construct validity, transfer should be tested along at least four axes: data composition, task definition, resource envelope, and decision

cost. The fourth layer is failure analysis. Aggregate performance alone cannot show whether errors concentrate in rare classes, difficult operating conditions, minority subgroups, boundary cases, or high-cost decisions. A credible research program therefore reports both central tendency and structured failure slices.

The fifth layer is reproducibility. At minimum, readers need a precise description of inputs, preprocessing, comparison baselines, evaluation metrics, and exclusion rules. Where code or data cannot be released, a procedural specification and sensitivity analysis can still make the work more auditable. This is especially important when the focal contribution is recent or when a formal venue record is still evolving.

### 4. Implications for Research and Practice

For researchers, the evidence map recommends designing experiments backward from the decision that the system or scientific claim is intended to support. That approach clarifies which baselines are meaningful, which uncertainty measures matter, and which ablations can attribute gains to specific components. It also discourages benchmark-only conclusions when the application depends on latency, reliability, calibration, manufacturing variation, environmental exposure, or human interpretation.

For practitioners, the key implication is staged adoption. A promising result should first be reproduced in a controlled environment, then stress-tested under shifted conditions, and finally monitored after deployment. Decision thresholds should reflect asymmetric costs rather than headline accuracy alone. Documentation should preserve data provenance, versioned configurations, and known failure conditions so that later changes can be traced.

### 5. Limitations and Research Agenda

This article is a structured evidence synthesis, not a new empirical trial. The adjacent works were selected for topical relevance and author independence; those criteria do not make their datasets or outcomes interchangeable. The next step is full-text extraction of study design, sample characteristics, effect estimates, uncertainty intervals, and implementation details. A preregistered comparison matrix would strengthen the analysis further.

Three questions follow. First, does the focal claim remain stable under alternative datasets or populations? Second, which component contributes most when cost and uncertainty are evaluated jointly? Third, what monitoring signal would reveal degradation early enough for intervention? Answering these questions would turn the present evidence map into a cumulative research program centered on evaluation design and construct validity.

### References

- Ren, M., Vaddamanu, P., Xu, J., & Frade, F.-D.-L.-T. (2025). CusEnhancer: A Zero-Shot Scene and Controllability Enhancement Method for Photo Customization via ResInversion. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2509.20775>
- Soboleva, V., Alanov, A., Kuznetsov, A., & Sobolev, K. (2026). T-LoRA: Single Image Diffusion Model Customization Without Overfitting. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 40(11), 9051-9059. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v40i11.37861>
- Yu, H., Lin, X., & Wang, J. (2025). GeoDiff-SR: Structure-Preserving Image Super-Resolution via Riemannian Diffusion Inversion. . <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5421704>
- Ma, L., Fang, X., & Qi, G.-J. (2024). Equilibrated Diffusion: Frequency-aware Textual Embedding for Equilibrated Image Customization. Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia, 196-204. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3664647.3680729>

5. Lee, K., Kwak, S., Sohn, K., & Shin, J. (2024). Direct Consistency Optimization for Robust Customization of Text-to-Image Diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 37, 103269-103304. <https://doi.org/10.52202/079017-3281>
6. Jiang, Z., Po, L.-M., Xu, X., Wang, Y., Wu, H., Liu, Y., & Li, K. (2025). RMP-adapter: A region-based Multiple Prompt Adapter for multi-concept customization in text-to-image diffusion model. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 274, 126936. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2025.126936>
7. Hase, A., & Kancharla, P. (2026). Spatial Distortion-Guided Diffusion Inversion for Blind Image Restoration. *2026 National Conference on Communications (NCC)*, 412-417. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ncc68160.2026.11479176>
8. Chihaoui, H., Lemkhenter, A., & Favaro, P. (2024). Blind Image Restoration via Fast Diffusion Inversion. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 37, 34513-34532. <https://doi.org/10.52202/079017-1088>
9. Kumari, N., Zhang, B., Zhang, R., Shechtman, E., & Zhu, J.-Y. (2023). Multi-Concept Customization of Text-to-Image Diffusion. *2023 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 1931-1941. <https://doi.org/10.1109/cvpr52729.2023.00192>
10. Cheng, J., Qiu, X., Zhou, Q., Li, M., Li, C., Lu, Y., & Yu, F.-R. (2025). OmniStyle: Attention-Optimized Global and Local Image Stylization with Diffusion Model Inversion. *2025 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME)*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icme59968.2025.11210103>