

Global Questions: An Interdisciplinary Review

INTERDISCIPLINARY REVIEW | COMMISSIONED SYNTHESIS

Governing Critical-Mineral Transitions Across Climate, Trade, and Justice

Thomas Harris - Corresponding author

Abstract

This interdisciplinary review examines governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The organizing question is how supply security can be pursued without displacing environmental and social costs to producing regions. Ten related scholarly sources are synthesized through a decision-centered framework spanning problem definition, mechanism, measurement, evaluation, implementation, and governance. The review does not invent experiments, pooled estimates, or unreported quantitative results. It instead evaluates the strength and transferability of the available evidence, with particular attention to reducing transition risk to a single forecast of mineral demand. The resulting framework links technical or empirical performance to explicit use conditions and identifies tests that should precede wider adoption in energy-transition policy and industrial strategy.

Keywords: critical minerals, energy transition, trade, environmental justice, supply chains

Synthesis lens	Principal question
Mechanism	the chain from geological concentration and extraction through processing, trade, technology choice, and recycling
Evaluation	material intensity, supply concentration, lifecycle impacts, labor conditions, and scenario uncertainty
Primary risk	reducing transition risk to a single forecast of mineral demand

1. Introduction

Research on governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice sits at the boundary between a promising component and a consequential decision. The core question is how supply security can be pursued without displacing environmental and social costs to producing regions. Answering it requires more than assembling favorable results. It requires a chain of evidence that makes the problem boundary, mechanism, measurement, comparator, uncertainty, and accountable decision visible to the reader.

This article presents a structured narrative review of ten related publications. Sources were selected for topical relevance, traceable publication metadata, and complementary coverage of technical, empirical, and governance questions. No meta-analytic pooling is attempted because the included studies differ in designs, populations, system boundaries, and outcomes. The purpose is decision-oriented synthesis: to identify what each source can support, where transfer remains uncertain, and which evidence would justify the next stage of use.

The central risk is reducing transition risk to a single forecast of mineral demand. A top-line metric or broad policy label can appear decisive while concealing the conditions that produced it. Accordingly, the synthesis separates observation from interpretation and implementation from validation. This separation is especially important when the same system creates benefits for one user or institution while transferring cost, risk, or administrative burden to another.

2. Evidence Base and Problem Boundary

A defensible review begins by defining the unit of analysis. For the present topic, the relevant boundary includes inputs, institutional or technical context, the mechanism producing an output, the person or system acting on that output, and the downstream outcome. Evidence falls outside the boundary when it measures only an intermediate proxy yet is used to claim an end-to-end benefit.

Sovacool et al. (2019) addresses "Decarbonization and its discontents: a critical energy justice perspective on four low-carbon transitions." In this synthesis, that work is used as a source on problem definition within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

Heffron and McCauley (2017) addresses "The concept of energy justice across the disciplines." In this synthesis, that work is used as a source on conceptual boundary within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

Owen et al. (2022) addresses "Energy transition minerals and their intersection with land-connected peoples." In this synthesis, that work is used as a source on measurement within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

Together, these works provide complementary entry points, but their conclusions should not be flattened into a single ranking. Differences in data generation, setting, temporal horizon, and outcome definition may be substantively meaningful. A review should therefore preserve those differences and state where analogy, rather than direct replication, connects one domain to another.

3. Mechanism and System Design

The operative mechanism is the chain from geological concentration and extraction through processing, trade, technology choice, and recycling. This formulation discourages component-only reasoning. A model, platform, policy, assay, or infrastructure service acquires practical meaning only when its interfaces and use conditions are specified. The evidence chain should describe what information is available, what transformation occurs, which assumptions make that transformation credible, and who is authorized to act.

Burke and Stephens (2017) addresses "Political power and renewable energy futures: A critical review." In this synthesis, that work is used as a source on system mechanism within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

Broto et al. (2018) addresses "Energy justice and sustainability transitions in Mozambique." In this synthesis, that work is used as a source on representation choice within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

Srivastava and Kumar (2022) addresses "Minerals and energy interface in energy transition pathways: A systematic and comprehensive review." In this synthesis, that work is used as a source on failure analysis within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

These studies also show why modular claims require interface tests. A component may perform well while the complete pathway fails because inputs drift, users interpret outputs differently, recovery semantics are ambiguous, or institutional incentives change. Design documentation should therefore include not only the intended pathway but also foreseeable deviations, degraded modes, and conditions under which the system should stop or defer.

4. Evaluation and Uncertainty

Evaluation should center on material intensity, supply concentration, lifecycle impacts, labor conditions, and scenario uncertainty. Average performance remains useful, but it should be accompanied by distributions, error categories, relevant subgroups or operating regimes, and uncertainty at the point where a decision changes. Comparators must isolate the value of the proposed addition rather than compare a mature intervention with an intentionally weak baseline.

Nsafon et al. (2023) addresses "The justice and policy implications of clean energy transition in Africa." In this synthesis, that work is used

as a source on comparative evaluation within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

Canelas and Carvalho (2023) addresses "The dark side of the energy transition: Extractivist violence, energy (in)justice and lithium mining in Portugal." In this synthesis, that work is used as a source on uncertainty within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

External validation should introduce meaningful shifts in setting, time, population, workload, market structure, or environmental conditions. A nominally independent sample can still be too similar to test transfer. Conversely, a failed transfer is scientifically informative when the changed conditions are measured and the mechanism of failure is examined rather than hidden in an aggregate score.

5. Translation and Governance

Translation to energy-transition policy and industrial strategy depends on more than technical readiness. Decision rights, documentation, maintenance, monitoring, appeal, and recovery are part of the intervention. The governance requirement is traceability, benefit sharing, circularity standards, strategic reserves, and cross-border coordination. Each control should be connected to a named failure mode and should identify an owner, evidence source, review interval, and escalation path.

Martinez-Alier et al. (2016) addresses "Is there a global environmental justice movement?." In this synthesis, that work is used as a source on implementation within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

Mirzania et al. (2023) addresses "Barriers to powering past coal: Implications for a just energy transition in South Africa." In this synthesis, that work is used as a source on governance within governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice. The connection is deliberately bounded: the cited study informs the evidence map, but it does not by itself establish readiness for energy-transition policy and industrial strategy. That distinction keeps the review close to the published record and prevents an adjacent result from being converted into a broader causal claim.

A credible implementation plan also defines sunset and revalidation conditions. New data, software updates, institutional restructuring, population change, or shifts in incentives can invalidate previously reasonable assumptions. Monitoring should therefore test the validity of the evidence chain, not merely whether a service remains online or a headline metric remains stable.

6. Research Agenda

Future studies should preregister or otherwise predefine the intended decision, principal outcomes, exclusions, and analysis plan when feasible. They should report missingness, sensitivity analyses, negative or null findings, and the cost of errors to differently situated stakeholders. Reproducible artifacts should include sufficient metadata to reconstruct data provenance, model or analytical versions, parameter choices, and the sequence from evidence to conclusion.

Cross-disciplinary work needs a shared object of explanation rather than a collection of adjacent vocabularies. For governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice, that shared object is the complete decision pathway. Technical, clinical, social, environmental, or economic expertise should each change the design or interpretation of the study. When evidence remains incomplete, the useful output is a bounded hypothesis, a transparent uncertainty statement, or a request for additional measurement.

7. Conclusion

The literature supports a disciplined approach to governance of critical-mineral transitions across climate, trade, and justice: define the decision, preserve system boundaries, test consequential failure modes, connect uncertainty to action, and assign accountable control. The strongest conclusion is not that one method is universally superior. It is that credible use in energy-transition policy and industrial strategy requires an auditable link from source evidence to design choice, evaluation result, and governed decision.

References

- Broto, V. C., Baptista, I., Kirshner, J., Smith, S., & Alves, S. N. (2018). Energy justice and sustainability transitions in Mozambique. *Applied Energy*, 228, 645-655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.06.057>
- Burke, M. J., & Stephens, J. C. (2017). Political power and renewable energy futures: A critical review. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 35, 78-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2017.10.018>
- Canelas, J., & Carvalho, A. (2023). The dark side of the energy transition: Extractivist violence, energy (in)justice and lithium mining in Portugal. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 100, 103096. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2023.103096>
- Heffron, R. J., & McCauley, D. (2017). The concept of energy justice across the disciplines. *Energy Policy*, 105, 658-667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.03.018>
- Martinez-Alier, J., Temper, L., Bene, D. D., & Scheidel, A. (2016). Is there a global environmental justice movement?. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 43(3), 731-755. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2016.1141198>
- Mirzania, P., Gordon, J. A., Balta-Ozkan, N., Sayan, R. C., & Marais, L. (2023). Barriers to powering past coal: Implications for a just energy transition in South Africa. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 101, 103122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2023.103122>
- Nsafon, B. E. K., Same, N. N., Yakub, A. O., Chaulagain, D., Kumar, N. M., & Huh, J. S. (2023). The justice and policy implications of clean energy transition in Africa. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1089391>
- Owen, J. R., Kemp, D., Lechner, A. M., Harris, J., Zhang, R., & Lèbre, É. (2022). Energy transition minerals and their intersection with land-connected peoples. *Nature Sustainability*, 6(2), 203-211. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00994-6>
- Sovacool, B. K., Martiskainen, M., Hook, A., & Baker, L. (2019). Decarbonization and its discontents: a critical energy justice perspective on four low-carbon transitions. *Climatic Change*, 155(4), 581-619. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02521-7>
- Srivastava, N., & Kumar, A. (2022). Minerals and energy interface in energy transition pathways: A systematic and comprehensive review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 376, 134354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134354>